Congress of the United States Washington, DC 20515

January 23, 2020

The Honorable Mike Pompeo Secretary of State U.S. Department of State 2201 C Street, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20230

Dear Secretary Pompeo,

We thank you for your efforts to apply and strengthen Protecting Life in Global Health Assistance (PLGHA), which expanded the Mexico City Policy to all U.S. global health assistance. We applaud you for your diligent implementation of this policy. We write to urge you to close a loophole in PLGHA that allows taxpayer dollars to flow to U.S. Non-Governmental Organizations (NGOs) that are involved in the global abortion industry.

As you know, U.S. NGOs that receive U.S. global health assistance for their work overseas are not currently subject to PLGHA. We are concerned that some U.S. NGOs, especially those that actively promote abortions overseas, are integrating abortion-related activities into their taxpayer-funded global health programs and are restructuring in order to negate the impact of PLGHA for their foreign NGO affiliates. We urge you to create a wall of separation between abortion and health care in U.S. global health programs by ensuring that U.S. NGOs that work abroad meet abortion-related program integrity standards at least as strong as those in effect for the Title X family planning program at home. U.S. NGOs that provide abortion as a method of family planning, or – similar to Title X – fail to maintain a rigorous physical and financial separation from abortion-related activities within funded programs should not receive U.S. global health assistance.

The following are examples of U.S. NGOs involved in abortion activities overseas that received U.S. global health assistance in FY2018:²

- \$123.2 million to Population Services International (PSI), which works to "supply medications and train providers to give women safe, comprehensive medical abortion care."
- \$85.7 million to Pathfinder International, which "collaborate[s] with governments in building the capacity of health systems to ensure quality comprehensive abortion services."⁴

¹ "Compliance With Statutory Program Integrity Requirements," Department of Health and Human Services, March 4, 2019, 84 Fed. Reg. 7714.

² Data from USAID Foreign Aid Explorer, under the sector category "Health and Population" https://explorer.usaid.gov/query/, accessed January 6, 2020. These funding amounts are based on incomplete data for FY18. Actual funding may be higher than listed here.

³ "Medical Abortion," Population Services International, https://www.psi.org/program/medical-abortion/.

⁴ "Safe Abortion Care," Pathfinder International, https://www.pathfinder.org/focus-areas/safe-abortion-care/.

- \$52.2 million to PATH, which works to increase "access to safe abortion in Nepal."5
- \$15.8 million to Population Council, which states that its work in India demonstrates "the effectiveness of a comprehensive abortion care model."
- \$10.3 million to Engender Health, which works in Ethiopia "expanding access to comprehensive abortion care."
- \$2.2 million to Womancare Global International, manufacturer and distributor of a surgical abortion device known as the Manual Vacuum Aspirator. 8

Currently, nothing prevents these and other U.S. NGOs from integrating abortion-related activities into taxpayer-funded global health programs. Such activities include the performance of, training for, counseling on, referrals for, and the use of literature promoting abortion as a method of family planning. Moreover, some foreign NGOs are employing gimmicks to work around PLGHA when they are affiliated with a U.S. NGO serving as the umbrella organization. The U.S. NGO PSI, for instance, has stated their intent to restructure their foreign affiliates so that they can keep "continuing to work in safe abortion... [by] switch[ing] around the way they are registered and operating in some countries." Specifically, PSI stated that in Nigeria, they may have to open a "branch office" under their U.S. NGO which could "deliver the [abortion] services...work[ing] in tandem with the local office" for their foreign NGO affiliate, Society for Family Health (SFH). SFH received \$6.8 million in U.S. global health assistance in FY2018. It

Such egregious workarounds for PLGHA should not be allowed to continue. Therefore, we urge you to require U.S. NGOs to certify that, during the term of any award, sub-award, contract, or sub-contract for U.S. global health assistance:

- (1) The organization will not, using any funding source:
 - perform an abortion as a method of family planning,
 - furnish or develop any item primarily intended to procure such an abortion, or
 - provide financial support to any other organization that conducts such activities.
- (2) The organization will ensure that its funded program:
 - does not include the performance of, training for, counseling on, referrals for, or the promotion of abortion as a method of family planning, and
 - maintains a complete physical and financial separation from such abortion-related activities, including by not co-locating a funded program with any site that conducts such activities.

These protections for U.S. NGOs would be constitutionally and statutorily grounded. There is no First Amendment right to perform abortions. Furthermore, the Supreme Court held in *Rust* v.

^{5 &}quot;Behavior change communication: increasing access to safe abortion in Nepal," PATH, https://path.azureedge.net/media/documents/RH sparking dialogue fact sheet.pdf.

⁶ "Increasing Access to Comprehensive Abortion Care Services in India," Population Council, https://www.popcouncil.org/research/increasing-access-to-comprehensive-abortion-care-services-in-india.

⁷ "In Action," Engender Health. http://fpconference.org/2016/wp-content/uploads/2016/01/EngenderHealth-FactSheets.pdf.

^{8 &}quot;DKT Womancare," DKT International, https://www.dktinternational.org/dkt-womancare/.

⁹ Dr. Christine Sow, PSI Chief Operating Officer, "President Trump Threatens Women's Health: VIP Conference Call," February 8, 2017, https://www.psi.org/president-trump-threatens-womens-health-vip-conference-call/.

¹⁰ Ibid.

¹¹ USAID Foreign Aid Explorer, see footnote 2.

Sullivan that regulations prohibiting federal grant recipients from performing, providing counseling for, referring for, or co-locating with sites that perform abortion as a method of family planning do not violate the First Amendment rights of grant recipients, their staff, or their patients. Congress, moreover, has given the President broad statutory authority over foreign aid to set "such terms and conditions as he may determine" for both voluntary population planning and health programs more generally. This power, in turn, is delegated to the Secretary of State. ¹⁴

Support for organizations involved in the abortion industry, especially in poor, developing nations which have pro-life laws, disrespects their local culture and undermines the optimal health outcomes and goodwill we seek to create through foreign assistance. Again, we urge you close the loophole in PLGHA for U.S. NGOs by holding them to abortion-related program integrity standards at least as strong as those that currently apply for the Title X family planning program. Implementing such protections would not reduce U.S. global health assistance by one dollar; rather, it would free up these funds for a new and more diverse partner base of providers that care for both mother and child.

Sincerely,

Michael S. Lee United States Senator Virginia Foxx Member of Congress

Steve Daines United States Senator

Christopher H. Smith Member of Congress

cc:

The Honorable Mark Green Administrator, U.S. Agency for International Development

The Honorable Alex Azar Secretary, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services

The Honorable Mark Esper Secretary, U.S. Department of Defense

¹² Rust v. Sullivan, 500 U.S. 173 (1991).

¹³ Subsections (b) and (c)(1) of section 104 of the *Foreign Assistance Act of 1964* (22 U.S.C. 2151b(b), (c)(1)). ¹⁴ Part 1–100(a)(1) of Executive Order 12163, as amended by Executive Order 13118 of March 31, 1999.

Thom Tillis Ted Cruz United States Senator United States Senator Roger F. Wicker nited States Senator Marsha Blackburn United States Senator Mike Rounds Kevin Cramer United States Senator United States Senator Ben Sasse United States Senator United States Senator Mike ! James Lankford Mike Braun United States Senator United States Senator John Thune James M. Inhofe United States Senator United States Senator

United States Senator

Tim Scott

United States Senator

Jerry Moran United States Senator	Marco Rubio United States Senator
Kelly Loeffler United States Senator	Jim Banks Member of Congress
Ralph Norman Member of Congress	Steve Chabot Member of Congress
Steve King Member of Congress	Greg Gianforte Member of Congress
Doug Lamborn Member of Congress	Michael Guest Member of Congress
Bob Gibbs Member of Congress	Matt Coetz Member of Congress
Glenn Grothman Member of Congress	Mark Meadows Member of Congress

Kevin Brady Member of Congress	Rick W. Allen Member of Congress
Andy Biggs Member of Congress	Bill Flores Member of Congress
Brien Babin Member of Congress	Jeff Fortenberry Member of Congress
Braine Luetkemeyer Member of Congress	Guy Reschenthaler Member of Congress
John Ratcliffe Member of Congress	Chip Roy Member of Congress
Robert B. Aderholt Member of Congress	Pete Olson Member of Congress
Jody Hice Member of Congress	Mike Kelly Member of Congress

Ron Wright

Ron Wright Member of Congress

Ralph Abraham, M.D. Member of Congress

Russ Fulcher

Russ Fulcher Member of Congress

Brad Wenstrup, D.P.M.

Brad Wenstrup, D.P.M. Member of Congress

Andy Harris

Andy Harris Member of Congress

Jodey C. Arington Member of Congress

Doug LaMalfa
Member of Congress

Gregory F. Murphy, M.D. Member of Congress

Ben Cline Member of Congress

Ross Spano Member of Congress

Debbie Lesko Member of Congress Steve Watkins Member of Congress

Bruce Westerman Member of Congress

Tim Walberg 6